



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,519	04/06/2001	Kevin P. Nasman	3197-000009	6140
27572	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			PHAM, THOMAS K	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	3 .D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2121	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) NASMAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	09/827,519		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Thomas K. Pham	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	ollowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea mpliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	lment, affidavit, or other evid I fee) in compliance with 37	dence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this an event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	r than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on and the corresponding amount o I statutory period for reply originally nths after the mailing date of the fir	f the fee. The appropriate extens set in the final Office action; or (2 aal rejection, even if timely filed, m	ion fee under 37 ?) as set forth in (b) aay reduce any
. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply musual menusers.	y extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE begins) 	consideration and/or search (because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(• •	inally rejected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	1.121. See attached Notice of n(s):	·	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		b) 🔀 Will be entered and ar	n explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,7-11,14-18 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,6,12,13 and	119		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>10</u> .		,
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action	but before or on the date of	filing a Notice of Appeal will	not be entered

11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other:

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, the motivation to combine Keane and Roster is adequately supported by Roster in column 2 lines 5-17 for the purpose of providing communication between two or more digital electronic devices with two or more communication protocols.

And the motivation to combine Keane and Yonezawa is adequately supported by Yonezawa in column 3 lines 56-63 for the purpose of handling a plurality of communication protocols without preparing an interface device for each communication protocol.